

CLEA MOOTING (INDIA ROUND) COMPETITION -2019



Commonwealth Legal Education Association (South Asia)



**CSI College for Legal Studies,
Kanakkary, Kottayam, Kerala**

12th - 13th January. 2019

**CLEA MOOTING COMPETITION (FOR INDIA) ORGANISED BY
CSI COLLEGE FOR LEGAL STUDIES, KANAKKARY, KOTTAYAM,
KERALA - 2019**

The Commonwealth Legal Education Association (CLEA) has been organizing the **CLEA Moot Court Competition** in which Student Teams from universities from the Commonwealth compete for the erstwhile **Turnbull Shield**. It is an initiative of the Commonwealth Legal Education Association (CLEA) and the Commonwealth Lawyers Association (CLA) which is an 'invitation-only' Moot. CLEA moot is a biannual Commonwealth moot limited to representatives from regions of the Commonwealth, chosen, for this purpose to be North America, United Kingdom, Caribbean, South Asia (India), Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, South East Asia, South, West and East Africa, Australasia and the Pacific wherein the teams compete for 'Commonwealth Shield' – as it is renamed now.

The CLEA Moot Court Competition has grown over the years and attracts the finest talent from the Commonwealth countries, providing a platform for students to participate, interact and learn from each other.

For the year 2019, The National Round for India is being conducted by the CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary, Kottayam from 12th to 13th 2019. The winning team of the national round will represent India in the Commonwealth Moot, i.e., the sixteenth Commonwealth Moot-2019 to be held in conjunction with the 21st Commonwealth Law Conference at Zambia in April 2019. The sixteenth Commonwealth Moot will take place on Thursday, the 11th April, 2019. The Indian team (Gujarat National Law University) has been the runner up in the 15th Commonwealth Moot held in conjunction with the 20th Commonwealth Law Conference in Melbourne on Thursday, the 23rd March, 2017.

CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary, Kottayam



The State of Kerala has witnessed many attempts made by Missionaries and various educational institutions of recent origin to open the doors of liberal education in Kerala with an objective to empower the strengths of youth who can assess and enhance their skills for generating career opportunities to help them in getting useful learning through education especially English education. Carrying forward this noble idea, CSI Madhya Kerala Diocese, a widely recognized educational agency in Kerala, by upholding the great tradition of the Church Missionary Society in the field of education, owns and manages CSI College for Legal Studies (CSICLS) along with other educational institutions and endeavours to train men and women in the discipline of law so that they become prophetic in their vocation by giving social justice. It was founded in the year 2013 with the motto “Let Justice roll down like waters”.

CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary is located in Kottayam, Kerala with an eco-friendly campus. It is a self-financing law college affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam and approved by the Bar Council of India. It seeks to stay ahead as a centre of excellence in the sphere of legal education. The courses offered in CSICLS are Integrated 5 year B.A.LL.B/ B.Com.LL.B programmes which require a regular study for ten semesters in a period of five academic years.

With good infrastructure, well equipped library with ample facilities for reading, reference and research, computer lab with free internet facility and online international data base resources of law reports, statutes and law reviews, good placement record and the best quality of higher education, CSICLS aspires to cater to the growing demand for skilled legal professionals who are capable of handling the challenges of the emerging legal order and also committed to ethical values of the profession.

Considering the multi-faceted role of legal education in the era of globalization, CSICLS realizes that a lawyer today cannot confine his work within the Court Hall. He has to perform his role both in the municipal as well as international level with great efficiency and responsibility. Hence, it endeavors to equip the students to handle all kinds of emerging challenges effectively at both national and international level.

COMMONWEALTH LEGAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION (CLEA)



The Commonwealth Legal Education Association (CLEA) is a registered charity which was formed in December 1971 with the view to foster high standards in legal education and research in the Commonwealth countries, facilitating contact between the interested individuals and organisations and circulating information and literature concerning legal education and research. The Membership of the CLEA is open to individuals, Schools of Law and other institutions concerned with legal education and research. There are Three Chapters of the Association, carrying out activities on a regional level: the South-East Asian Chapter; the Southern African Chapter; and the Caribbean Chapter.

The foremost aim of the CLEA is development of a Model Human Rights Curriculum which will provide an exemplary model for the Commonwealth Law Schools and other faculties and institutions interested in offering a course on Human Rights to their undergraduate students. CLEA produces two regular publications, namely, *Commonwealth Legal Education Newsletter* and *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*. The Association also publishes books and other materials from time to time.

The CLEA (South Asia) Chapter of CLEA works with an objective / motto to make legal education socially relevant and professionally useful, particularly through the development of course curriculum and pedagogy focused on innovative methodology – the CLEA has been a champion in meeting the demands of profession in the recent wake of liberalization and globalization. Prof (Dr.) S. Sivakumar, the Vice President and Trustee of CLEA is the President of the CLEA (South Asia).

**RULES AND REGULATIONS OF COMMONWEALTH MOOT COURT
COMPETITION (INDIA ROUND) – 2019**

NATIONAL ROUNDS

ARTICLE 1: AIM OF THE COMPETITION

- (1) The National Rounds of the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019 aim at honing the skills of lawyering and advocacy among the young law students.

ARTICLE 2: THE NATIONAL ROUNDS

- (1) The National Rounds for India are being conducted by the CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary, Kottayam from 12th to 13th January, 2019 in collaboration with the CLEA (South Asia) President, Prof. (Dr.) S. Sivakumar, Professor, Indian Law Institute, Bhagwan Dass Road, New Delhi which is open to all Law Colleges and Faculties/University Departments of Law in India.
- (2) The National Rounds will be held in **four stages**, that is **Preliminary, Quarter Final, Semi-Final** and **Final**.
- (3) The first stage of competition shall be the **Preliminary Stage** and the top Eight Scorers, among all teams, will qualify for the **Quarter-Final** Stage.
- (4) The top Four Teams from Quarter Final will participate in the **Semi-Final Stage** where teams will have Two Rounds.
- (5) The Best Two Teams from the Semi-Final Stage shall compete in the **Final Stage**.
- (6) Each participating team shall argue the case for both the applicant and the respondent respectively during the **National Rounds**.
- (7) There shall be a **Committee of Judges** for each Court selected from a Panel of Judges constituted for the purpose which may include eminent Judges, Lawyers, and Law Teachers from all over India.

ARTICLE 3: TEAM COMPOSITION AND ELIGIBILITY

- (1) Each team shall consist of **Two Counsels** and **One Researcher**. Each of whom:-
 - (i) on the date of the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019, on 12th January, 2019 is a *bonafide* undergraduate law student (for the year 2018-2019) of the Three Year Scheme or Five Year Scheme from an institution duly recognized by the Bar Council of India; and
 - (ii) on the date of the start of the Competition has not been admitted to the unrestricted practice of law in any jurisdiction.
- (2) Each college shall send only One Team of such eligible participants.
- (3) In no case the team shall consist of more than three participants, that is, Two (2) Mooters and One (1) Researcher. Their number cannot be increased under any circumstances. The travelling expenses of the participants shall be met by their respective institution.

ARTICLE 4: REGISTRATION

- (1) All participating teams shall register themselves by midnight on **14-12-2018**, by sending the completed registration form attached herewith.
- (2) The participating teams are required to send intimation of their participation via e-mail to cleaindiamoot2019@gmail.com confirming their participation latest by **14-12-2018**.

ARTICLE 5: THE MOOT PROPOSITION

- (1) The proposition for the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019 is in this manual and can be downloaded from our website : <http://csicls.org/>

ARTICLE 6: MEMORIALS

- (1) Each team shall submit five (5) hard copies of the Memorials, and one soft copy on CD to the Organizing Committee of Clea India moot by **11-01-2019 at CSI Law College, kanakkari, Kerala**
- (2) The softcopy of the memorial should be submitted to cleaindiamoot2019@gmail.com 06.01.2019. No change in the memorial shall be permitted afterwards.
- (3) Memorials must be submitted on the standard international A/4 Size Page in Font Type: Times New Roman, Font Size: 12, Double Spacing. The Font Style of the Footnote should also be Times New Roman, Font Size: 10 and should be singly spaced. Quotations from sources outside of the memorial of Fifty (50) words or more in any part of the memorial shall be block quoted (i.e. right and left indented) and must be single spaced.
- (4) No indication shall be made for identifying the Institution/College of the participant and on arrival, each team will be awarded a **CODE NUMBER** and that number alone shall be marked on the memorials.
- (5) The petitioner and respondent memorials must be differentiated by **'Blue Cover'** and **'Red Cover'** respectively.
- (6) Memorials for both sides should contain the following in order:
 - (a) Title Page
 - (b) Table of Contents
 - (c) Index of Authorities
 - (d) Statement of Jurisdiction
 - (e) Statement of Facts
 - (f) Summary of Arguments/Pleadings
 - (g) Arguments Supported by the Authorities
 - (h) Conclusion / PrayerThe Title Page shall include:
 - (a) The Name of the Court
 - (b) The Year of the Competition
 - (c) The Name of the Case
 - (d) The Title of the Document (i.e. "Memorial for the Respondent" or "Memorial for the Petitioner")
- (6) The memorial shall not exceed more than Thirty (30) pages. The following contents are inclusive within the stipulated page limit:
 - (a) Pleadings

- (b) Conclusions
- (c) Annexures, if any
- (d) Appendices and Footnotes

Any issue or pleading, not discussed within the above mentioned contents of the Memorial shall not be included in any other section of the Memorial.

The following shall not be included in the limit of Thirty (30) pages set out for the Memorial:

- (a) Title Page
- (b) Table of Contents
- (c) Index of Authorities
- (d) Statement of Jurisdiction
- (e) Statement of Facts
- (f) Questions Presented
- (g) Summary of Pleadings

(7) **Statement of the Facts**

The Statement of the Facts shall be limited to the facts as stipulated as well as to the necessary inferences drawn from the proposition. The Statement of the Facts must not include unsupported facts, distortions of stated facts, argumentative statements, or legal conclusions. An excessive Statement of the Facts shall be a **‘Non-Discretionary Memorial Penalty’**, but such violation may be taken into account by the judges while evaluating the written submission.

(8) **Summary of the Pleadings**

The Summary of the Pleadings shall consist of a substantive summary of the “Pleadings”, rather than a simple reproduction of the headings contained in the Pleadings Section. An excessive Summary of Pleadings shall be a Non-Discretionary Memorial Penalty, while a Summary of Pleadings which is otherwise improper shall not be subjected to a **Memorial Penalty**, but such violation may be taken into account by the judges while evaluating the written submission.

- (9) The teams are to submit authorities supporting their contentions referred to in the memorials at the time of oral presentation but at no stage are they allowed to supplement the memorial in the form of annexure, compilation etc. which may otherwise amount to exceeding page limit of the memorial.

ARTICLE 7: ASSESSMENT OF THE MEMORIALS

- (1) The memorials shall be assessed by a **Committee of Judges** and every memorial will be marked out of total Hundred (100) marks and the Team Memorial will have the average total of both the sides (Petitioner/Respondent). The Marking Criteria and the Marks Allocated to each Category are listed:

Criterion
1. Knowledge of facts and law (Minimum: 10 pts; Maximum: 20pts)
2. Proper and articulate analysis (Minimum: 10 pts; Maximum: 20pts)
3. Extent and use of research (Minimum: 10 pts; Maximum: 20pts)
4. Clarity & Organisation (Minimum: 10 pts; Maximum: 20pts)
5. Citation of sources (Minimum: 5 pts; Maximum: 10pts)
6. Grammar and Style (Minimum: 5 pts; Maximum: 10pts)

ARTICLE 8: ORAL PRESENTATIONS

(A) THE PRELIMINARY AND SEMI-FINAL STAGES

- (1) Each Oral Round shall consist of Seventy (70) minutes of oral pleadings. Each team Petitioner/Respondent shall be allotted Thirty Five (35) minute.
- (2) Two (2) members from each team shall make oral presentations during the round. Prior to the beginning of the Oral Round, each team shall indicate to the bailiff as to how it wishes to allocate its 35 minutes among:
 - (a) Its First Oralist,
 - (b) Its Second Oralist, and
 - (c) Rebuttal (for the Petitioner) or Sur-Rebuttal (for the Respondent).
- (3) No single oralist shall plead for more than Twenty (20) minutes, including rebuttal or sur-rebuttal. Any team member may act as an oralist during any round of the competition. In exceptional circumstances, the Bench shall have the discretion to permit a single oralist to argue beyond Twenty (20) minutes limit.
- (4) The order of the pleadings in each Round at all levels of the Competition shall be: **Petitioner 1 ⇔ Petitioner 2 ⇔ Respondent 1 ⇔ Respondent 2 ⇔ Rebuttal (Petitioner 1 or 2) ⇔ Sur-rebuttal (Respondent 1 or 2).**
- (5) Each team may reserve up to Ten (10) minutes or rebuttal or sub- rebuttal. As a gesture of courtesy to the judges, the participating teams should announce whether they intend to reserve any time for rebuttal or sub-rebuttal at the beginning of their oral arguments and how much time they intend to reserve. Failure to announce it will not waive the right to rebuttal or sub-rebuttal. Only one Team member may deliver the rebuttal or sub-rebuttal. Although the team member delivering rebuttal or sub-rebuttal must be one of the two team members who argued during the team’s main argument, the team need not indicate prior to rebuttal or sub- rebuttal which of its two eligible members will offer rebuttal or sub- rebuttal.
- (6) A team’s oral pleadings shall not in any way be limited to the scope of the team’s memorial. The scope of the Petitioner’s rebuttal shall be limited to responding to the Respondent’s primary oral pleadings, and the scope of the Respondent’s sur-rebuttal shall be limited to responding to the Petitioner’s rebuttal. If the Petitioner waives the rebuttal, there shall be no sur-rebuttal. No legal issues which were not addressed in the primary pleadings may be raised in the rebuttal or sur-rebuttal.

(B) THE FINAL STAGE

- (1) Each team will get a total of Forty Five (45) minutes to present their case. This time will include time for rebuttal and sub-rebuttal.
- (2) The division of time is at the discretion of the team members, subject to a maximum of Twenty Five (25) minutes per speaker.
- (3) The oral arguments need not be confined to the issues presented in the memorials.
- (4) The researcher shall sit with the speakers at the time of the oral presentation.

ARTICLE 9: MARKING CRITERIA FOR THE ORAL PRESENTATIONS

- (1) The judges would assign marks to each individual speaker out of Fifty (50) marks. The team score would be the aggregate of the total marks for oral presentations of the 2 speakers out of Hundred (100) marks. The following shall be the Marking Criterion and the Marks allocated to each category;

Oral Presentation Criteria
1. Knowledge of Law (30) Excellent (27-30pts); Very Good (24-27pts); Good (21-24 pts); Adequate (19-21 pts); Poor (15-19 pts.)
2. Application of Law to Facts (25) Excellent (23-25pts); Very Good (21-23 pts); Good (19-21 pts); Adequate (16-19 pts); Poor (15-16 pts.)
3. Ingenuity and Ability to Answer Questions (30) Excellent (27-30pts); Very Good (24-27pts); Good (21-24 pts); Adequate (19-21 pts); Poor (15-19 pts.)
4. Style, Poise, Courtesy and Demeanour (10) Excellent (9-10 pts); Very Good (8-9 pts); Good (7-8 pts); Adequate (5-7 pts); Poor (4-5 pts.)
5. Time Management and Organization (5) Excellent (5 pts); Very Good (4 pts); Good (3 pts); Adequate (3 pts); Poor (1 pt.)

ARTICLE 10: DISPUTES

- (1) Any dispute about the Moot Court Competition shall be referred to the Dispute Resolution Committee, comprising the Chairperson, the Co-Chairperson and the Organising Secretary, Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019 before the end of the competition. In all matters of complaints or disputes, the decision of the Dispute Resolution Committee shall be final.

ARTICLE 11: CODE OF CONDUCT

- (1) The language for the Moot Court Competition shall be English.
- (2) All participants are expected to maintain the decorum in the Court during the competition and are expected to conduct themselves in a manner befitting the legal profession.
- (3) **Scouting:** Speakers, a reserve or persons affiliated with the team, will not be permitted to hear the arguments in any court room in which the team is not one of the contesting teams whilst the team is still in the competition.

ARTICLE 12: AWARDS

- (1) The winning team (only one) and runner-up team (only one) shall be awarded the “CLEA – CSICLS” Trophies and the winning team shall participate in the Final Rounds of the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition, i.e., the 16th Commonwealth Moot which will take place on Thursday, the 11th April, 2019 at Zambia in conjunction with the 21st Commonwealth Law Conference in April, 2019.
- (2) There shall also be awards for Best Memorial and Second Best Memorial.
- (3) All participants shall be awarded Certificates of Participation and the winners shall receive Certificates of Merit.

ARTICLE 13: ACCOMMODATION

- (1) The team of Three (3) participants shall be provided accommodation by the University for the duration of the competition only. However, the interested students are required to inform, the Organizing Committee, through their Registration Form, so as to enable the organizers to make necessary arrangements.

ARTICLE 14: REGISTRATION FEES

- (1) All participating institutions have to confirm participation by sending an email to cleaindiamoot2019@gmail.com along with the scanned copy of Demand Draft by 14th December, 2018.
- (2) Teams shall post the hard copies of the filled registration form along with the original draft of Indian Rupees 3500/- by 20th December, 2018 to The Principal, CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary P.O., Kottayam 686632 Kerala.
- (3) No subsequent change in the team composition shall be permitted.
- (4) The draft of Rs.3500/- shall be drawn in favour of The Principal, CSI College for Legal Studies payable at Kanakkary.
- (5) Formal registration of the teams shall be done on 11th January, 2019 at the venue of the competition by 1600 hrs.
- (6) No forms received after the deadline shall be considered for registration.

ARTICLE 15: GENERAL SECTION

- (1) The duration of each court shall not exceed one hour and ten minutes.
- (2) Depending upon the number of participating teams, the competition will be held on league cum knock-out basis on two, three or four rounds of the Court Sessions.
- (3) The number of Stages or qualifying teams for the next Stage may be increased or decreased subject to the number of participating teams.
- (4) Team numbers and the side to be represented (petitioner/respondent) shall be decided by draw of lots at different Stages during the competition. The scheme of competition thus drawn out shall be notified to the participating teams.
- (5) The organizers reserve the right to make any necessary alterations in respect to the side to be taken by the competing teams, in case it becomes absolutely necessary due to withdrawal of any team/teams at the last minute, or if the competing teams had no opportunity to argue the other side of the problem.
- (6) Each team is expected to be ready with written briefs and oral arguments to argue from either side of the case. The court will follow its own procedure within the accepted norms and judicial practice, and in case of doubt or dispute in the matter of procedure or facts, the decision of the Presiding Member of the Committee of Judges of each Court shall be final.

COMMONWEALTH MOOT COURT COMPETITION (INDIA ROUND) 2019

(12th to 13th January, 2019)

ANNEXURE ON DISQUALIFICATION AND PENALTIES

ARTICLE A1: AIMS

- (1) The present Annexure on Disqualifications and Penalties forms an integral part of the Official Rules of the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019 (National Rounds).
- (2) The aim of the Annexure on Disqualifications and Penalties is to ensure a fair and supportive contest in the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019 by providing means for ensuring compliance with the relevant provisions of the Official Rules.

ARTICLE A2: CHEATING, INTIMIDATION AND MISCONDUCT

- (1) Cheating or using of unfair means of any kind is strictly prohibited and if indulged in, shall result in disqualification of the team.
- (2) Intimidation in any form is prohibited and if indulged in, shall result in disqualification of the team.
- (3) Misconduct, whether behavioral or otherwise, is not allowed and if indulged in, shall result in disqualification of the team.

ARTICLE A3: COURT MANNERS (ORAL ARGUMENTS)

- (1) Any form of communication between the Bar table and any person other than those on the Bench is prohibited, and if indulged in, will result in a penalty point.
- (2) Submission of any written material other than the memorials and any other documents related to the proposition in hand to the Bench prior to, during or after oral arguments, is not allowed and if indulged, in will result in a penalty point.
- (3) Failure to deliver an oral argument shall be considered in entirety, a disqualification.
- (4) It shall be the discretion of the Organizing Committee to decide on any violation of the provisions of Article 8 of the Rules and Regulations during the rounds and whether that violation entails penalty point. If a participating team, member of the Bench or the time keeper wishes to claim a violation of Article 8, the Bench shall inform the Organizing Committee of the claim made and shall not consider it as a part of their deliberations unless directed to do so by the Organizing Committee.

ARTICLE A4: SUBMISSION AND FORMATING OF THE MEMORIALS

- (1) Delay in the submission of the memorials, use of incorrect font or font size, use of font of inconsistent size, or improper line spacing, failure to include all parts of the memorial, or inclusion of an unremunerated part, substantive legal argument outside of approved sections of memorial, improperly formatted index of authorities, excessive length, failure to include necessary information on the memorial cover, inclusion of any identifying mark, character or text in the memorial shall result in imposition of penalties.

ARTICLE A5: DRESS CODE

- (1) **Strict adherence to the Dress Code is required.** The teams are required to be properly attired for the rounds. The participants are required to wear '**Black Trousers / Skirts**' and '**White Shirt**', '**Black Blazers**' and '**Black Neck Tie**'.

ARTICLE A6: NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE RULES OF THE ORGANISING COMMITTEE

- (1) The participants are required to comply with the rules formulated by the Organizing Committee at all times during the Commonwealth Moot Court Competition - 2019.
- (2) Total points collected by a team shall be reduced by the penalty points imposed for the violation of rules specified by the Organizing Committee for each round in which the violation took place.
- (3) Each penalty point shall be imposed for each violation. One penalty point imposed shall reduce one mark from the score of the team. However, the total number of penalty points awarded against one team shall not surpass 10 points.
- (4) If the number of penalties increases from Ten (10) in numbers, the team can be debarred from the competition. An opportunity of being heard by the Organizing Committee can be offered to the team on request. The Committee shall decide whether to debar that particular team from further participation in the competition or reduce the marks from the total score obtained by that team.

COMMONWEALTH MOOT COURT COMPETITION (INDIA ROUND) 2019

12TH TO 13TH JANUARY, 2019

CSI COLLEGE FOR LEGAL STUDIES, KOTTAYAM, KERALA

REGISTRATION FORM

Name of the Institution: _____

Address: _____

Telephone No.: _____

Fax No.: _____

E-Mail: _____

Website: _____

Draft Details _____

SPEAKER ONE

Name: _____

DOB _____

Gender: _____

Year of study: _____

Telephone/ Mobile No.: _____

E-Mail: _____

SPEAKER TWO

Name: _____

Gender: _____

DOB _____

Year of study: _____

Telephone/Mobile No.: _____

E-Mail: _____

RESEARCHER

Name: _____

Gender: _____

DOB _____

Year of study: _____

Telephone/ Mobile No.: _____

E-Mail: _____

OFFICIAL TEAM CONTACT

Name: _____

Designation: _____

Telephone No.: _____

E-mail:

ACCOMMODATION

Accommodation Required: Yes No

Date and Time of Arrival: _____

Mode of Transportation: Train Bus Any other

Date and Time of Departure: _____

Signature and Seal of the Head of Institution

Date

Place.....

**Kindly mail the scanned copy of the registration form at
cleaindiamoot2019@gmail.com latest by 14-12-2018.**

IMPORTANT DATES	
Announcement of CLEA (India Round) Moot - 2019	01-11-218
Release of Rules	21.11.2018
Release of moot problem	26.11.2018
Last date for confirmation of participation	14.12.2018
Last date for Registration	20.12.2018
Formal registration	11.01.2019
Submission of memorials (softcopy)	06.01.2019
Submission of memorials (hardcopy)	11.01.2019
CLEA (India Round) Moot - 2019	12-13.01.2019

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Patron

Rt .Rev. Thomas K. Oommen, Bishop & Moderator, Church of South India

Advisory Committee

Rev. Thomas, Paikad, Treasurer CSI, Madya Kerala Diocese

Dr. Cherian P. Kurien, Bursar, CSI College for Legal Studies

Mr Manohar Thairani, President, Lloyd Law College, Greater Noida

Mr. Vikram Araya, Advocate, Supreme Court of India/ Secretary, CLEA (South Asia)

Chairperson

Prof. (Dr.) S Sivakumar

Vice –President and Trustee, CLEA ; President(CLEA South Asia-) /Professor, Indian Law Institute, New Delhi

Organizing Secretary

Dr George Joseph, Principal, CSI Law College, Kanakkari, Kerala

CLEA (South Asia) Mooting Co-ordinator

Dr. Lisa P. Lukose, Executive Member, CLEA (South Asia) /Associate Professor, GGSIP University, Dwarka, India

Members

Dr. V.S. Gigimon, Associate Professor, Dharmashastra National Law University, Jabalpur

Adv Ravi Prakash, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi

Faculty Coordinators

Mrs. Jaimol Thomas, Asst. Professor, CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary

Mr. Abhilash T.G, Asst. Professor, CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary

Dr. Jaisy .T, Asst. Professor, CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary

Student Co-ordinator

Mr. Dan Royce, CSI College for Legal Studies, Kanakkary